# Exhibit 300 (BY2009)

| PART ONE  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| OVERVIEW  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Date of Submission: 2007-09-10   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agency: 015   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Bureau:  | 45   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Name of this Capital Appeals Automated Environment (AAE) Asset:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . Unique Project 015-45-01-14-01-2239-00 dentifier:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. What kind of investment will t   | this be in FY2009?   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mixed Life Cycle  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. What was the first budget year   | ar this investment was submitted to OMB?   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY2002  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Provide a brief summary and identified agency performance g  | justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an gap.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| provided by Government FT<br>Centralized Database Syster<br>(Appeals Budget Control Sys<br>addition, the "technology" confrastructure for Appeals. T<br>Government FTEs providing<br>systems services, maintenar<br>1980 and currently operates | n. AAE is a combination of technology and people, with the majority of costs allocated to labor Es. The "technology" component of AAE includes one primary application, the Appeals (ACDS), and two minor applications, ACuServ (Appeals Customer Service) and ABCs stem). ACDS provides case management, timekeeping, and report generation capabilities. In omponent also includes the hardware and software that make up the supporting IT The "people" component of AAE is comprised of a geographically dispersed staff of management and program support, seat management, applications development, and IT noce, equipment and support to Appeals end-users. AAE was designed and implemented in a largely as an Operations and Maintenance (O&M) investment, with the exception of minor, systems changes or enhancements. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Did the Agency's Executive/Ir  | nvestment Committee approve this request?  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| yes   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.a. If "yes," what was the date  | of this approval?  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007-08-16  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Did the Project Manager rev   | view this Exhibit?   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| yes   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. Project Manager Name:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wilson, Jeanne  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Manager Phone:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 202-435-5675  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 202-435-5675  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 202-435-5675  Project Manager Email:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Manager Email:<br>Jeanne.M.Wilson@irs.gov   | P/PM certification level of the project/program manager?   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Manager Email:<br>Jeanne.M.Wilson@irs.gov   | ?/PM certification level of the project/program manager?   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Manager Email:  Jeanne.M.Wilson@irs.gov  11.a. What is the current FAC-F  | P/PM certification level of the project/program manager?  and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Manager Email:  Jeanne.M.Wilson@irs.gov  11.a. What is the current FAC-F  TBD  12. Has the agency developed a   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Manager Email:  Jeanne.M.Wilson@irs.gov  11.a. What is the current FAC-F  TBD  12. Has the agency developed a this project.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only) no 13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives? yes If yes, select the initiatives that apply: **Human Capital** 13.a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?) The Appeals Centralized Database System (ACDS) provides the technology that enables the core business actions of the Appeals organization. Without this asset, Appeals would require a larger case processing work force, take longer to place a case in the hands of an Appeals or Settlement Officer, thus crippling the mission critical business activities and adversely impacting employee and Taxpayer burden and satisfaction. 14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? no 14.a. If yes, does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review? nο 15. Is this investment for information technology? 16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)? Level 2 17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council's PM Guidance) (1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment 18. Is this investment identified as high risk on the Q4 - FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB memorandum M-05-23)? yes 19. Is this a financial management system? 20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%) Hardware 13 Software 0 Services 80 Other 7 21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities? yes 22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions. Carlos Moura Phone Number 202- 927-0730 Title Management and Program Analyst carlos.moura@irs.gov

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's

approval?

yes

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas?

no

#### **SUMMARY OF SPEND**

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated Government FTE Cost, and should be excluded from the amounts shown for Planning, Full Acquisition, and Operation/Maintenance. The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for Planning, Full Acquisition, and Operation/Maintenance. For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

All amounts represent Budget Authority

|                                 | PY-1 & Earlier | PY     | CY     |
|---------------------------------|----------------|--------|--------|
|                                 | -2006          | 2007   | 2008   |
| Planning Budgetary Resources    | 0.000          | 0.000  | 0.000  |
| Acquisition Budgetary Resources | 0.000          | 0.000  | 0.000  |
| Maintenance Budgetary Resources | 5.473          | 2.733  | 2.795  |
| Government FTE Cost             | 20.058         | 10.510 | 10.946 |
| # of FTEs                       | 182            | 92     | 92     |

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies).

Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

no

## **PERFORMANCE**

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding Measurement Area and Measurement Grouping identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond FY 2009.

|   | Fiscal<br>Year | Strategic<br>Goal<br>Supported                                | Measurement<br>Area                | Measurement<br>Grouping | Measurement<br>Indicator                   | Baseline | Planned<br>Improvement<br>to the<br>Baseline | Actual<br>Results                  |
|---|----------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|----------|--|------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2005           | Manage the<br>U.S.<br>Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively | Mission and<br>Business<br>Results | Taxation<br>Management  | Number of<br>Resolved<br>Taxpayer<br>Cases | 103,946  | 104,574 Cases<br>Closed                      | 102,597 at<br>the end of<br>FY 05. |
| 2 | 2005           | Manage the U.S.   | Processes and<br>Activities        | Cycle Time              | Closed<br>Taxpayer Case                    | 105%     | 101%   | 103% at the end of the             |

|    |      | Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively                       |                                    |                          | Ratios                                     |         |                         | FY 05.  |
|----|------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---------|-------------------------|---|
| 3  | 2005 | Manage the<br>U.S.<br>Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively | Customer<br>Results                | Customer<br>Satisfaction | Taxpayer<br>Satisfaction                   | 53%     | 62%                     | 61% at the end of FY 05.  |
| 4  | 2006 | Manage the<br>U.S.<br>Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively | Mission and<br>Business<br>Results | Taxation<br>Management   | Number of<br>Resolved<br>Taxpayer<br>Cases | 102,597 | 102,597 Cases<br>Closed | 102,559 at<br>the end of<br>FY 06   |
| 5  | 2006 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively             | Processes and<br>Activities        | Cycle Time               | Closed<br>Taxpayer Case<br>Ratios          | 103%    | 105%                    | 106%<br>Closure rate<br>at the end of<br>FY 06.   |
| 6  | 2006 | Manage the<br>U.S.<br>Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively | Customer<br>Results                | Customer<br>Satisfaction | Taxpayer<br>Satisfaction                   | 61%     | 62%                     | 57% of<br>Appeals<br>customers<br>reported<br>that they<br>were<br>satisfied<br>with the<br>service they<br>received in<br>Appeals.<br>This is as of<br>30<br>September<br>2006, the<br>end of<br>yearly<br>survey<br>period. |
| 7  | 2007 | Manage the<br>U.S.<br>Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively | Mission and<br>Business<br>Results | Taxation<br>Management   | Number of<br>Resolved<br>Taxpayer<br>Cases | 102,559 | 102,598 Cases<br>Closed | 75,252 at end of 3rd Otr.   |
| 8  | 2007 | Manage the<br>U.S.<br>Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively | Processes and<br>Activities        | Cycle Time               | Closed<br>Taxpayer Case<br>Ratios          | 106%    | 107%                    | 101% at end of 3rd Qtr.   |
| 9  | 2007 | Manage the<br>U.S.<br>Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively | Customer<br>Results                | Customer<br>Satisfaction | Taxpayer<br>Satisfaction                   | 57%     | 61%                     | 69% This is<br>our year end<br>result<br>because the<br>e-300<br>schedule is<br>not<br>synchronized<br>with our<br>survey<br>schedule.  |
| 10 | 2007 | Manage the U.S. Government's                                  | Technology                         | Availability             | System Up<br>Time                          | 98%     | 98%                     | 99%   |

|    |      | Finances<br>Effectively                                       |                                    |                          |  |     |                         |                                       |
|----|------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 11 | 2007 | Manage the<br>U.S.<br>Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively | Technology                         | User<br>Satisfaction     | Appeals User<br>Satisfaction               | 74% | 75%                     | Waiting for<br>end of year<br>results |
| 12 | 2008 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively             | Mission and<br>Business<br>Results | Taxation<br>Management   | Number of<br>Resolved<br>Taxpayer<br>Cases | TBD | 105,958 Cases<br>Closed | Wait for FY<br>08 data from<br>ACDS   |
| 13 | 2008 | Manage the<br>U.S.<br>Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively | Processes and<br>Activities        | Cycle Time               | Closed<br>Taxpayer Case<br>Ratios          | TBD | 106%                    | Wait for FY<br>08 data from<br>ACDS.  |
| 14 | 2008 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively             | Customer<br>Results                | Customer<br>Satisfaction | Taxpayer<br>Satisfaction                   | TBD | 63%                     | Wait for FY<br>08 results.            |
| 15 | 2008 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively             | Technology                         | Availability             | System up<br>Time                          | 98% | 98%                     | Wait for FY<br>08<br>data/results.    |
| 16 | 2008 | Manage the<br>U.S.<br>Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively | Technology                         | User<br>Satisfaction     | Appeals User<br>Satisfaction               | TBD | 76%                     | Wait for FY 08 data/results.          |
| 17 | 2009 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively             | Mission and<br>Business<br>Results | Taxation<br>Management   | Number of<br>Resolved<br>Taxpayer<br>Cases | TBD | 108,088 Cases<br>Closed | Wait for FY<br>09 data from<br>ACDS.  |
| 18 | 2009 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively             | Processes and<br>Activities        | Cycle Time               | Closed<br>Taxpayer Case<br>Ratios          | TBD | 107%                    | Wait for FY<br>09 data from<br>ACDS.  |
| 19 | 2009 | Manage the U.S. Government's Finances Effectively             | Customer<br>Results                | Customer<br>Satisfaction | Taxpayer<br>Satisfaction                   | TBD | 64%                     | Wait for FY<br>09<br>data/results.    |
| 20 | 2009 | Manage the<br>U.S.<br>Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively | Technology                         | Availability             | System Up<br>Time                          | 98% | 98%                     | Wait for FY<br>09<br>data/results.    |
| 21 | 2009 | Manage the<br>U.S.<br>Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively | Technology                         | User<br>Satisfaction     | Appeals User<br>Satisfaction               | TBD | 77%                     | Wait for FY<br>09<br>data/results.    |
| 22 | 2010 | Manage the  | Mission and                        | Taxation                 | Number of                                  | TBD | 108,628                 | Wait for FY                           |

|    |      | U.S.<br>Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively               | Business<br>Results         | Management               | Resolved<br>Taxpayer<br>Cases     |     |      | 10 data from ACDS.                   |
|----|------|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|------|--------------------------------------|
| 23 | 2010 | Manage the<br>U.S.<br>Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively | Processes and<br>Activities | Cycle Time               | Closed<br>Taxpayer Case<br>Ratios | TBD | 108% | Wait for FY<br>10 data from<br>ACDS. |
| 24 | 2010 | Manage the<br>U.S.<br>Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively | Customer<br>Results         | Customer<br>Satisfaction | Taxpayer<br>Satisfaction          | TBD | 65%  | Wait for FY<br>10<br>data/results.   |
| 25 | 2010 | Manage the<br>U.S.<br>Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively | Technology                  | Availability             | System Up<br>Time                 | 98% | 98%  | Wait for FY<br>10<br>data/results.   |
| 26 | 2010 | Manage the<br>U.S.<br>Government's<br>Finances<br>Effectively | Technology                  | User<br>Satisfaction     | Appeals User<br>Satisfaction      | TBD | 78%  | Wait for FY<br>10<br>data/results.   |

#### EΑ

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

yes

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

yes

2.a. If yes, provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

**Appeals Automated Environment** 

3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture?

no

3.a. If yes, provide the name of the segment architecture as provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

Enterprise Transition Plan, Volume 1: Enterprise Transition Strategy (IRS)

4. Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/.

Component: Use existing SRM Components or identify as NEW. A NEW component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

Reused Name and UPI: A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

Internal or External Reuse?: Internal reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. External reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

Funding Percentage: Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service.

|   | Agency<br>Component<br>Name    | Agency<br>Component<br>Description  | Service<br>Type          | Component                        | Reused<br>Component<br>Name | Reused<br>UPI | Internal<br>or<br>External<br>Reuse? | Funding % |
|---|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | System Rescource<br>Monitoring | Appeals<br>Information<br>Systems Office<br>(AI SO). i.e. our<br>IS support,<br>monitors system<br>usage                                | Systems<br>Management    | System<br>Resource<br>Monitoring |                             |               | No Reuse                             | 1         |
| 2 | License<br>Management          | AISO manages<br>licenses for<br>ACDS, file<br>servers and web<br>services.  | Systems<br>Management    | License<br>Management            |                             |               | No Reuse                             | 0         |
| 3 | Access Control                 | AISO has<br>procedures for<br>granting access<br>to the Appeals<br>Domain and<br>ACDS. AISO has<br>procedures for<br>user<br>management | Security<br>Management   | Access<br>Control                |                             |               | No Reuse                             | 4         |
| 4 | Forms Creation                 | AISO creates<br>forms which are<br>output for ACDS<br>users and<br>Taxpayers.   | Forms<br>Management      | Forms<br>Creation                |                             |               | No Reuse                             | 3         |
| 5 | Forms Modification             | AISO modifies forms used by ACDS.   | Forms<br>Management      | Forms<br>Modification            |                             |               | No Reuse                             | 3         |
| 6 | Document Library               | AISO maintains<br>a Document<br>Library for<br>ACDS and the<br>Appeals<br>Network   | Collaboration            | Document<br>Library              |                             |               | No Reuse                             | 0         |
| 7 | Case Management                | Appeals uses ACDS for tracking and workflow related to taxpayer cases in Appeals jurisdiction.  | Tracking and<br>Workflow | Case<br>Management               |                             |               | No Reuse                             | 42        |
| 8 | Classification                 | ACDS supports a search capability.  | Search                   | Classification                   |                             |               | No Reuse                             | 1         |
| 9 | Query                          | ACDS supports<br>a search<br>capability and<br>the Appeals<br>Web-page has a<br>search<br>capability                                    | Search                   | Query                            |                             |               | No Reuse                             | 1         |

| 10 | Pattern Matching               | ACDS supports a search capability.   | Search                   | Pattern<br>Matching                 | No Reuse | 1 |
|----|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|---|
| 12 | Decision Support<br>& Planning | ACDS performs decision support and planning by providing Appeals Management with data on cases Appeals' is processing.             | Business<br>Intelligence | Decision<br>Support and<br>Planning | No Reuse | 2 |
| 13 | Demand<br>Forecasting/MGMT     | ACDS performs demand forecasting by providing Appeals Management with data on the number and type of cases Appeals' is processing. | Business<br>Intelligence | Demand<br>Forecasting /<br>Mgmt     | No Reuse | 2 |
| 14 | Data Classification            | AISO creates<br>data<br>classifications<br>based on its<br>software<br>development   | Data<br>Management       | Data<br>Classification              | No Reuse | 8 |
| 15 | Data Recovery                  | AISO<br>warehouses and<br>recovers data<br>from ACDS   | Data<br>Management       | Data<br>Recovery                    | No Reuse | 4 |
| 16 | Loading and<br>Archiving       | AISO loads and<br>extracts data<br>into and from<br>ACDS   | Data<br>Management       | Loading and<br>Archiving            | No Reuse | 2 |

<sup>5.</sup> To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component: Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications.

Service Specification: In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

|   | SRM Component                 | Service Area                           | Service<br>Category   | Service<br>Standard    | Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name) |
|---|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|---|
| 1 | System Resource<br>Monitoring | Service Platform and Infrastructure    | Delivery Servers      | Application<br>Servers | In-house developed software and Aelita software       |
| 2 | Data Recovery                 | Service Platform and Infrastructure    | Database /<br>Storage | Storage                | Veritas Backup Exec                                   |
| 3 | Decision Support and Planning | Service Platform and Infrastructure    | Delivery Servers      | Application<br>Servers | In-house developed software                           |
| 4 | Query                         | Service Platform and<br>Infrastructure | Delivery Servers      | Web Servers            | Microsoft IIS 5.0                                     |

|    |                                 | T                                      |                              |                                   |   |
|----|---------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| 5  | Query                           | Service Platform and<br>Infrastructure | Delivery Servers             | Portal Servers                    | Microsoft IIS 5.0   |
| 6  | Demand<br>Forecasting /<br>Mgmt | Service Platform and<br>Infrastructure | Hardware /<br>Infrastructure | Embedded<br>Technology<br>Devices | In-house developed software   |
| 7  | License<br>Management           | Service Platform and Infrastructure    | Hardware /<br>Infrastructure | Network Devices /<br>Standards    | In-house developed software, policy and ITAMS asset center          |
| 8  | Access Control                  | Service Platform and Infrastructure    | Hardware /<br>Infrastructure | Network Devices /<br>Standards    | In-house developed software and Aelita software                     |
| 9  | Document Library                | Service Platform and<br>Infrastructure | Hardware /<br>Infrastructure | Servers /<br>Computers            | Borland Star Team   |
| 10 | Loading and<br>Archiving        | Service Platform and<br>Infrastructure | Hardware /<br>Infrastructure | Peripherals                       | Dell Autoloader and Single<br>Tape backup units                     |
| 11 | Forms Creation                  | Service Platform and<br>Infrastructure | Hardware /<br>Infrastructure | Network Devices /<br>Standards    | In-house developed software,<br>Adobe and Word                      |
| 12 | Forms Modification              | Service Platform and Infrastructure    | Hardware /<br>Infrastructure | Network Devices /<br>Standards    | In-house developed software,<br>Adobe and Word                      |
| 13 | Classification                  | Service Platform and Infrastructure    | Delivery Servers             | Web Servers                       | In-house developed software,<br>SQL server and Microsoft IIS<br>5.0 |
| 14 | Pattern Matching                | Service Platform and<br>Infrastructure | Delivery Servers             | Web Servers                       | In-house developed software,<br>SQL Server and Microsoft IIS<br>5.0 |
| 16 | Data Classification             | Service Interface and Integration      | Interoperability             | Data Format /<br>Classification   | In-house developed  |
| 17 | Data Classification             | Service Interface and Integration      | Interoperability             | Data Types /<br>Validation        | Computer Associated ERWIN   |
| 18 | Case Management                 | Service Platform and Infrastructure    | Database /<br>Storage        | Database                          | MS/SQL server & custom code   |
|    |                                 |  |                              |                                   |   |

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

no

### **PART TWO**

## **RISK**

You should perform a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of the investment's life-cycle, develop a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

Answer the following questions to describe how you are managing investment risks.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

yes

1.a. If yes, what is the date of the plan?

2007-05-01

1.b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

no

3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule:

AAE is a mixed life investment that is largely a steady state operation of a legacy system implemented in 1980 with limited DME that consists largely of stay in business change requests and enhancements that are developed and implemented in-house using existing government FTEs. The AAE project team risk-adjusted the life cycle costs by defining qualitative and quantitative risk scores for impact and probability, assessing the impact and probability for each

risk, calculating risk-adjustment factors by multiplying each risk's impact and probability, and applying those factors to selected cost elements to adjust the expected value to account for risk. )DME. The AAE team developed risk-adjusted costs by defining a risk score for impact and probability, assessing the impact and probability for each risk, calculating risk-adjustment factors by multiplying each risk's impact and probability, and applying those factors to selected cost elements to adjust the expected value to account for risk.

## **COST & SCHEDULE**

1. Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard 748?

no

2. Is the CV% or SV% greater than  $\hat{A} \pm 10\%$ ?

no

3. Has the investment re-baselined during the past fiscal year?

no